

# UNITED STATES

### **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/449,924	12/02/99	SAINT-LEGER		D	2365-12	
_		HM12/0621	コ	EXAMINER		
NIXON & VAN	NDERHYE PC	1 11 to the above 6 Test Total above the		PULLIAM, A		
1100 NORTH	GLEBE ROAD			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON V	/A 22201			1615	7	
				DATE MAILED	: 06/21/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

,					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/449,924	SAINT-LEGER	SAINT-LEGER, DIDIER		
·	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Amy E Pulliam	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddr ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE	E 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b</li> <li>Status</li> </ul>	cation. s, a reply within the statute period will apply and will	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the	I mailing date of this		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 N	1av 2000 .				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allowance with the practice under the condition is in condition in the condition is in condition in the condition in the condition is in condition in the condition in the condition is in condition in the condition in the condition is in condition in the condition i	nce except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-22 is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideratio	n.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	t.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved	b) disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. ≤ 119(a)-(d)			
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of the CERTIFI	•	. , , ,			
1.⊠ received.	LB copies of the pine	mry documents have been.			
2. received in Application No. (Series Code	/ Serial Number)	·			
3. received in this National Stage applicatio	n from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies	not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35	U.S.C. & 119(e).			
Attachment(s)					
<ul> <li>15) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>17) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6</li> </ul>	19) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper ice of Informal Patent Application ( er:			

Application/Control Number: 09/449,924

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the Declaration, the Preliminary Amendment A, the Priority Document, and the Information Disclosure Statement, received January 24, 2000, December 2, 1999, December 2, 1999, and May 9, 2000, respectively.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-12, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 96/24329 to Blieszner *et al* (hereinafter WO '329). WO '329 discloses a personal care composition which includes water, dimethicone, a polymeric emulsifier, a water soluble polyol, a pH adjusting agent, an anti-microbial agent, and a chelating agent. WO '329 further discloses that the preferred antimicrobial agent includes 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate (p 5, paragraph 2), and that the polyol may be chosen from a group including 1,2,4-butane triol, 1,2,6-hexane triol, and sorbitol (p 11, paragraph 4). Further, in the examples, WO '329 teaches that the polyol and the antimicrobial agent are present in amounts that fall within the range claimed by applicant. In addition, WO '439 teaches that additives, such as fragrances, skin smoothing aids, moisteners, humectants, emollients, powders (p 14, paragraph 2),

Application/Control Number: 09/449,924

Art Unit: 1615

silicone oils, and organic base pH adjusting agents can also be included (p 20, example a). Lastly, although the preferred embodiment of the composition disclosed by WO '329 is a wipe, they also teach that the composition may be administered through spraying, dripping, smoothing, massaging, or rubbing. It is the position of the examiner that this disclosure is broader than simply a wipe, and allows for other forms of application, and therefore it reads on the limitations of applicant's claim 12.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '329 as applied to claims 1-4, 6-12 and 22 above. WO '329 does not teach the exact polyol claimed in claim 5. However, applicant teaches that many polyols can be used in the formulation and achieve the same effect. Further, WO' 329 does teach the inclusion of some of the polyols claimed by applicant in claim 4. Therefore, it is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any polyol in the formulation disclosed by WO '329, with the expected results being an equally successful antimicrobial composition. Therefore the invention as whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/449,924

Art Unit: 1615

was made. The burden is shifted to applicant to disclose the criticality in the specific compound claimed in claim 5 of the instant application.

Claims 1-13 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '329 as applied to claims 1-4, 6-12, and 22 above, and further in view of US Patent 5,552,425 to Merianos (hereinafter US '425). WO '329 teaches that the composition can be used to clean and disinfect the skin, but does not teach its use as a shampoo. US '425 discloses an antimicrobial composition which comprises 3iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate, as well as a glycol (abstract). US '425 further teaches that their composition can be used as a shampoo (c 36, claim 8). It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the composition disclosed by WO '329 as a shampoo composition, in addition to a skin composition. The composition disclosed by WO '329 must be sensitive to the skin in order to be useful as a skin formulation, and therefore would not be harmful if applied to the scalp. Further, based on the disclosure of US '425, the active ingredient (IPBC) is acceptable is shampoo formulations. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected a shampoo composition with the same antimicrobial properties achieved by the skin formulation. Therefore the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is (703) 308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7922 for regular communications and (703) 308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Amy E Pulliam Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 June 15, 2000

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600